

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,670	06/25/2001	Gordon F. Ellis	00-104	8865
26471	7590 02/24/2003			
THE BOEING COMPANY			EXAMINER .	
	07 M/C 13-08 VA 98124-2207		HERNANDEZ, OLGA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/888,670	ELLIS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Olga Hernandez	3661		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		/			
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J				
2a)□ —	,	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to th				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1)  Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/888,670

Art Unit: 3661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/14/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the prior art does not teach the troubleshooting procedure. The examiner disagrees. Arjomand teaches how to execute a diagnostic application (abstract).

Therefore, it is understood that the diagnostic application performs the troubleshooting procedure.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arjomand (5,884,202) in view of Smith et al (5,931,877).

As per claims 2 and 3, Arjomand teaches a central maintenance computer system (figures 1 and 6); an onboard maintenance terminal (figure 1); the onboard maintenance terminal linking faults to maintenance documentation (columns 7-8). Arjomand does not teach this applied to an aircraft. However, Arjomand teaches the same for land vehicles. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement what is old and well known for land vehicles to aircrafts in order to enhance the benefits of the aircrafts. Even though Arjomand does

Application/Control Number: 09/888,670

Art Unit: 3661

not show how to collect maintenance information in real time; Smith does (column 12, lines 15-18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine both references in order to provide immediate support to the system.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 is allowed.

# Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,670

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Olga Hernandez

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 3661

WILLIAM A CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600